computer then uses the classification of words 100A to label each chunk with the class, sub-class and word category of the core word.

Each non-chunk word is similarly labelled on the basis of the classification of words 5 100A, as is each piece of punctuation.

The classifications 101 for the elements generated by the classification process 100 are stored in RAM 12.

10 Returning again to the example sentence, after classification of the elements of the input sentence would be as shown in Table 5 below

CLASS = [sentstart] phrasetag(<ADV) CLASS = [adv ] Similarly RR CLASS = [punct minpunct],, phrasetag((NR) CLASS = [nonreferent proper] Britain NP1 phrasetag([VG) CLASS = [vg past ] became VVD phrasetag(<ADJ) CLASS = [adj ] popular JJ phrasetag([pp) CLASS = [pp icspp after ] after ICS phrasetag ([pp) CLASS = [pp icspp after | after ICS << SUBCAT phrasetag((NR) CLASS = [nonreferent ] a\_AT1</p> rumour NN1 >> phrasetag([VG) CLASS = [vg verbpart] got VVD about RP CLASS = I [lex coords cst ] that CST phrasetag((NR) CLASS = [nonreferent proper place titular) Mrs NNSB1 Thatcher NP1 phrasetag([VG) CLASS = [vg past] had VHD declared VVN phrasetag(NR CLASS = [nonreferent locative ] open JJ house NNL1 NR) CLASS = [punct majpunct]... CLASS = [sentend]

Table 5

It will be seen that each element is labelled with a class and also a sub-class where there are a number of word categories within the sub-class.

Returning to Figure 2A, as stated above, the syntactic information 48 and word 5 grouping data 46 are stored in the RAM 12 by the text analysis process 42. The syntactic information 48 comprises word tags 95, syntactic groups 96, chunk markers 99 and element classifications 101. The word grouping data comprises the sentence markers 86 and paragraph markers 87.

10 Similar processing is carried out in forming the prosodic structure corpus 52 stored on the CD-ROM 32. Therefore, each of the reference sentences within the corpus is divided into elements and has similar syntactic information relating to each of the elements contained within it. Furthermore, the corpus contains data indicating where a human would insert prosodic boundaries when reading each of the example 5 sentences. The type of the boundary is also indicated.

An example of the beginning of a sentence that might be found in the corpus 52 is given in Table 6 below. In Table 6, the absence of a boundary is shown by the label 'sfNONE' after an element, the presence of a boundary is shown by 'sfMINOR' or 'sfMAJOR' depending on the strength of the boundary. The start of the example sentence is "As ever, | the American public | and the world 's press | are hungry for drama..."

CLASS = [sentstart ] sfNONE

phrasetag(< ADV) CLASS = [adv ] As\_RG ever\_RR sfNONE

CLASS = [punct minpunct ] , , sfMINOR

phrasetag((NR) CLASS = [nonreferent ] the\_AT American\_JJ public\_NN sfMINOR

CLASS = [lex coords cc ] and\_CC sfNONE

phrasetag((NR) CLASS = [nonreferent ] the\_AT world\_NN1 's\_\$ press\_NN sfMINOR

phrasetag((NR) CLASS = [vg beverbs ] are\_VBR sfNONE

phrasetag(< ADJ) CLASS = [adi ] hungry JJ sfNONE

 $\label{eq:phrasetag(pp) CLASS = [pp ifpp for ] for_IF << SUBCAT phrase tag((NR) CLASS = [nonreferent ] drama NN1 sfNONE >>$ 

## Table 6

The prosodic structure prediction process 50 involves the computer in finding the sequence of elements in the corpus which best matches a search sequence taken from the input sentence. The degree of matching is found in terms of syntactic characteristics of corresponding elements, length of the elements in words and a comparison of boundaries in the reference sentence and those already predicted for the input sentence. The process 50 will now be described in more detail with reference to Figure 5.

Figure 5 shows that the process 50 begins with the calculation of measures of similarity between each element of the input sentence and each element of the corpus 52. This part of the program is presented in the form of pseudo-code below:

15

20

FOR each element(e) of the input sentence:

FOR each element(e<sub>i</sub>) of the corpus:

calculate degree of syntactic match between elements  $e_i$  and  $e_r$  (= A) calculate no.\_of\_words match between elements  $e_i$  and  $e_r$  (= B) calculate syntactic match between words in elements  $e_i$  and  $e_r$  (= C) match( $e_i$ , $e_i$ ) = w1\*A + w2\*B + w3\*C

NEXT e

NEXT e

25 where e<sub>i</sub> increments from 1 to the number of elements in the input sentence, and e<sub>i</sub> increments from 1 to the number of elements in the corpus.

In order to calculate the degree of syntactic match between elements, the program controls the computer to find:

30